

July 2020 Sea Ice Outlook Key Statements																	
Contributor	Type	Model Name	Arctic Extent	Median	Standard Deviation	Range	Antarctic Extent	Alaska Extent	Alaska Extent	Maximum Alaska Extent	Estimate Summary	Executive Summary	Method Summary	Sea Ice Concentration Data	Sea Ice Thickness Data	Processing Description	
GFDL/NOAA (Bushuk et al.)	Dynamic Model	GFDL-FLOR	3.19	3.15	0.24	2.87-3.57			0.08	3.8	These statistics are computed using our 12 member prediction ensemble.	Our July 1 prediction for the September-averaged Arctic sea-ice extent is 3.19 million square km, with an uncertainty range of 2.87-3.57 million square km. Our prediction is based on the GFDL-FLOR ensemble forecast system, which is a fully-coupled atmosphere-land-ocean-sea ice model initialized using a coupled data assimilation system. Our predictions are the bias-corrected ensemble mean, and the uncertainty range reflects the lowest and highest sea ice extents in the 12-member ensemble.	Our forecast is based on the GFDL Forecast-oriented Low Ocean Resolution (FLOR) model (Vecchi et al. 2014), which is a coupled atmosphere-land-ocean-sea ice model. The model is initialized from an Ensemble Kalman Filter coupled data assimilation system (EKDA; Zhang et al. 2017), which assimilates observational surface and subsurface ocean data and atmospheric reanalysis data. The system does not assimilate any sea ice concentration or thickness data. The FLOR atmospheric initial conditions are produced from an AMIP run forced by observed SST and sea ice. Historical radiative forcing is used prior to 2005 and the RCP4.5 scenario is used for predictions after 2005. For the predictions initialized after 2004, the aerosols are fixed at the RCP4.5 scenario year of 2004. The performance of this model in seasonal prediction of Arctic sea ice extent has been documented in Meehl et al. (2014), Bushuk et al. (2017), and Bushuk et al. (2018). For an evaluation of the model's September sea ice extent prediction skill from a July 1 initialization, see attached report.	No SIC data is explicitly used in our initialization procedure.	No SIT data is explicitly used in our initialization procedure.	These forecasts are bias corrected based on an additive correction using a suite of retrospective forecasts spanning 1980-2015.	
University of Washington/APL	Dynamic Model	Pan-Arctic Ice-Ocean Modeling and Assimilation System (PIOMAS; Zhang and Rothrock, 2003), with coupled sea ice and ocean model components. The ocean model is the POP (Parallel Ocean Program) model and sea ice model is the thickness, floe size, and enthalpy distribution (TFED) model (Zhang et al., 2016). Atmospheric forcing is from the NCEP Climate Forecast System (CFS) version 2 (Saha et al., 2014) hindcast and forecast.	3.35								Driven by the NCEP CFS forecast atmospheric forcing, PIOMAS is used to predict the total September 2020 Arctic sea ice extent as well as ice thickness field and ice edge location, starting on July 1. The predicted September ice extent is 3.35 ± 0.40 million square kilometers. The predicted ice thickness fields and ice edge locations for September 2020 are also presented.	The PIOMAS forecasting system is based on a synthesis of PIOMAS, the NCEP CFS hindcast and forecast atmospheric forcing, satellite observations of ice concentration and sea surface temperature (SST), and CryoSat2 observations of sea ice thickness. The CFS forecast ranges from hours to months; there are a total of 16 CFS ensemble forecast runs every day, of which four ensemble runs go to 3 months, three runs go to 1 season, and nine runs go out to 45 days (Saha et al., 2014). These ensemble runs all create 6-hourly forecast atmospheric data that are widely accessible in real time, thus ideal for forcing PIOMAS forecasts on daily to seasonal time scales. Here we used four CFS forecast ensemble members to drive the PIOMAS ice-ocean ensemble seasonal forecasts. Hindcast members from these four members are considered to be the prediction. To obtain the best possible initial ice-ocean conditions for the forecasts, we conducted a retrospective simulation that assimilates satellite ice concentration and SST data through the end of May 2020 using the CFS hindcast forcing data. We also assimilated CryoSat2 ice thickness available to April 2020. After the retrospective simulation (hindcast), four ensemble PIOMAS forecast runs were conducted using atmospheric forecast forcing from four CFS ensemble runs. Additional information about PIOMAS prediction can be found in Zhang et al. (2008).	Satellite sea ice concentration data (NASA team) for data assimilation in hindcast.	CryoSat2 sea ice thickness up to 4/2020 for data assimilation in hindcast.			
McGill Team	Statistical		3.89								RMSE = 0.46 million km ² . We compare hindcasts to the observed mean September sea ice extent for the 1999-2019 period.	Our research focuses on seasonal predictability of sea ice in the Arctic Ocean, using observations-based approaches. We are interested in the winter preconditioning effect on the pack ice before the summer melt. Specifically, we investigate how dynamic processes affect preconditioning. In other words, we ask how anomalies in the general circulation of sea ice will influence later conditions of the Arctic Ocean pack ice under a typical melt season. We investigate the skill of different sea ice predictors, including atmospheric forcing parameters that physically connect to wintertime sea ice dynamics.	Sea ice concentration is not used as an initial condition (such as in a dynamical model). However, we use sea ice extent from the NSIC sea ice index V3 for our statistical model.				
U Tokyo (Kimura et al.)	Statistical		3.95								The dowdKSI method builds on the correlation between winter Fram Strait sea ice export and the following September minimum sea ice extent, presented in Williams et al. 2016. A positive anomaly of the winter Fram Strait sea ice export is associated with enhanced circulation of ice through the Transpolar Drift Stream and positive anomalies of coastal divergence of sea ice along the Eurasian coastlines. Increased coastal divergence late in the winter causes anomalies of younger and thinner ice in the peripheral seas, which is more vulnerable to melting in the summer.	regional SIC is 0.90 (correlation using cross-validated experiments) with RMSE of 0.2 million					
U Tokyo (Kimura et al.)	Statistical		3.95								Monthly mean ice extent in September will be about 3.95 million square kilometers. Our estimate is based on a statistical way using data from satellite microwave sensor. We used the ice thickness in December and ice movement from December 1 to June 15. Predicted ice concentration map from July to September is available in our website: http://ccr.aori.u-tokyo.ac.jp/~kimura_n/arctic/2020-2e.html	We predicted the Arctic sea-ice cover from coming July 1 to September 30, using the data from satellite microwave sensors, AMSR-E (2002/09-2010/11) and AMSR2 (2012/13-2019/20). The analysis method is based on our recent research (Kimura et al., 2013). First, we expect the ice thickness distribution in June 15 from redistribution (divergence/convergence) of sea ice during December and June, based on the daily ice velocity data. Then, we predict the summer ice area depending on an assumption that thick ice remains later and thin ice melts sooner than the average.	Sea ice concentration on December 1 distributed by AMSR/AMR (https://ads.nipr.jp).	No SIT data.			
ANSO JAP-LASG	Dynamic Model	CAS-FGOALS-F2 (Atmospheric component: FAMIL2; Ocean component: POP; Sea ice component: OCE4; Land component: CLM4)	4	3.76	0.2	3.09-4.18					The uncertainty was estimated by the ensemble member spread.	FGOALS-F2 S25 V1.3 is a global coupled dynamic prediction system. The initialization of this prediction system is based on a nudging scheme, which assimilates wind components (U and V), Temperature (T) in atmosphere and potential temperature in ocean 2010/11 and AMSR2 (2012/13-2019/20). The analysis method is based on our recent research (Kimura et al., 2013). First, we expect the ice thickness distribution in June 15 from redistribution (divergence/convergence) of sea ice during December and June, based on the daily ice velocity data. Then, we predict the summer ice area depending on an assumption that thick ice remains later and thin ice melts sooner than the average.	None	None	Model bias that is removed is calculated based on 2019 retrospective forecasts and corresponding observations.		
AWI Consortium	Dynamic Model	NADSIM 25km	4.03		0.23						Ensemble spread.	Scientific curiosity.					
Simmans, Charles	Statistical	This is a variant of Dekker's model. This is a simple linear regression on three variables from 1979 through 2020, used to predict NSIDC September monthly sea ice extent. * May average northern hemisphere sea ice area (http://sidads.colorado.edu/DATASETS/NOAA/OOI/D33/seaice_analysis/) * May average northern hemisphere snow area (https://climate.rutgers.edu/snowcover/table_anna.php?u1_set=2) * May average atmospheric CO2 as measured at Moorea Is. (https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/trends/data.html)	4.07		Standard Error of Linear Regression	0.495 million square kilometers					None	The model used here assumes September sea ice extent is mostly based on three things: * the albedo of the earth and the energy it reflects away from the arctic, * weather patterns in a proxy Moorea Is. CO2 measurements are used as a proxy for the amount of energy reflected away from the arctic. The residual error is assumed to be due to weather patterns in August that we don't know how to predict.	This is a variant of Dekker's model. This is a simple linear regression on three variables from 1979 through 2020, used to predict NSIDC September monthly sea ice extent. * May average northern hemisphere sea ice area (http://sidads.colorado.edu/DATASETS/NOAA/OOI/D33/seaice_analysis/) * May average northern hemisphere snow area (https://climate.rutgers.edu/snowcover/table_anna.php?u1_set=2) * May average atmospheric CO2 as measured at Moorea Is. (https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/trends/data.html)				
Cawley, Gavin	Statistical	Gaussian Process Regression	4.08	4.0791		2.9757 - 5.1825					Bayesian posterior predictive uncertainty from Gaussian Process	September mean pan-Arctic SIC is predicted to be 4.59 million square kilometers (mskn) with	Only uses previous monthly September sea ice extent data	Only uses previous monthly September sea ice extent data			
Climate Prediction Center	Dynamic Model	Whole Model: CFSv5 Atmospheric component: NCEP GFS Oceanic component: GFDL MOM5	4.12	4.08	0.2	3.75-4.62			0.55		The uncertainty estimate is calculated from the 20 member ensemble.	This contribution is from a 20-member ensemble forecast from the Climate Prediction Center Experimental sea ice forecast system (CFSv5). Model bias that is removed is calculated based on 2007-2019 retrospective forecasts and corresponding observations.	The outlook is produced from the Climate Prediction Center Experimental sea ice forecast system (CFSv5). The forecast is initialized from the Climate Forecast System Reanalysis (CFSR) for the ocean, land, and atmosphere and from the CPC sea ice initialization system (CIS) for sea ice. Twenty forecast members are produced. Model bias that is removed is calculated based on 2007-2019 retrospective forecasts and corresponding observations.	From the CPC sea ice initialization system (CIS), the CIS analysis is produced with GFDL MOM5 which uses surface fields from CFSR and fields from CFSR and assimilates satellite sea ice concentration retrieval from NSIDC			Twenty forecast members are produced. Model bias that is removed is calculated based on 2007-2019 retrospective forecasts.

RASM (Maslowksi et al.)	Dynamic Model	The version of Regional Arctic System Model (RASM v2_1_00) used for this contribution consists of the following components: Ocean: POP2.1 Atmosphere: WRF3.7.1 Sea ice: CCS 5.1.2 Land hydrology: VIC 4.0.6 River streamflow routing: RVC 1.0.0 Flux Coupler: CFL 7	4.156	4.137	0.193	3.678,4.4,5.58	0.39	3.927	The uncertainty of pan-Arctic September sea ice extent was estimated from the 30 ensemble members.	We used RASM2_1_00, which is a recent version of the limited area, fully coupled climate model consisting of the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF), Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) Parallel Ocean Program (POP) and Sea Ice Model (CICE). Variable Infiltration Capacity (VIC) land hydrology and routing scheme (RVC) model components (Maslowksi et al. 2012; Roberts et al. 2015; DuViver et al. 2015; Hamman et al. 2016; Hemmes et al. 2017; Cassano et al. 2017). The model uses CSF5/CS2 reanalysis output for RASM WRF lateral boundary conditions and for nudging winds and temperature starting above 500 mb. This model initial condition for ensemble forecast was derived from a hindcast, forced with CSF5/CS2 reanalysis for September 1979 through June 2020. The ocean and sea ice initial conditions at the beginning of the hindcast were derived from the 32-year spin-up of the ocean sea ice model only (RASM G-Case) forced with CNR2 reanalysis for 1948-1979.	As explained in the "Executive summary", RASM is used for dynamic downscaling of the "Executive summary". RASM is used for dynamic downscaling of the global NOAA/NCPC CS2v2 7-month forecasts. The initial conditions for the July sea ice Outlook were derived from the RASM 1979-2020 hindcast and are physically and internally consistent across all the model components. Neither data assimilation nor bias correction was used. Each of the 30 ensemble members ran forward for 7 months ahead from the CSF5/CS2 forecasts. The CSF5 forcing (https://www.noaa.gov/data/climate-forecast-system/access/operational-9-month-forecasts/) streams used for the ensemble members were initialized every day (at 0000) between June 1st and June 30th and used for RASM forcing at 0000 on July 1st, 2020.	Self-generated from the fully coupled RASM Hindcast simulation dynamically downscaled NCEP CSF5/CS2 reanalysis for 1979-2020.	As stated above in 7A).	Sea ice with concentration <15% and thickness < 20 cm was not included in the estimates of sea ice extent.	
NASA GSFC (Petty)	Statistical		4.2		0.39				The uncertainty represents one standard deviation of the prediction interval.	I followed the same procedure as previous years - statistical forecast using just NASA Team SIC data as the input. As described in Petty et al., (2017).	NSIDC NASA Team, https://nsidc.org/data/nsidc-008/ , https://doi.org/10.5067/UBCR09WYKXIM .				
APPLICATE Benchmark	Statistical		4.22	4.22	0.52 million km ²	3.20 - 5.24 (95% confidence interval) corresponding to 1.96 standard deviations assuming a Gaussian distribution)	18.37		We forecast that September 2020 monthly mean Arctic sea ice extent will be between 3.20 and 5.24 million km ² (95% confidence interval), with a 2.2 million km ² as our best estimate. We estimate that the 2020 minimum is unlikely (10.1% chance) to be broken, that the September mean extent is more likely than not (>50%) to be in the first 5% of the observed record and that it is exceptionally unlikely to be in the upper tercile of the observed record (all medium confidence statements).	The APPLICATE benchmark outlook is a simple statistical forecast based exclusively on the knowledge of past daily Arctic sea ice extent. It is produced in three steps: Step 1: Sea ice extent is forecasted for each day between the initial time (July 12, 2020) and December 31st, 2020, as the July 12th sea ice extent anomaly (calculated with respect to the quadratic trend line computed over 1979-2019) added to the relevant day background estimate. The background estimate itself is calculated as the 1979-2019 quadratic trend of extent for that day extrapolated to 2020. A weight is applied to the anomaly term, equal to the correlation between the relevant day and July 12 anomalies, estimated over 1979-2019 so that more weight is put on the anomaly term when the autocorrelation of the time series is high, and more weight is put on the background term when the autocorrelation tends to zero. Step 2: The September mean of daily forecasts is then estimated and is used to produce an initial 2020 forecast. Step 3: The 2020 forecast is finally recalibrated by linearly regressing the 1991-2019 forecasts onto the verification data. A 95% confidence interval is calculated around the recalibrated value and provided as an uncertainty estimate.					
APPLICATE (UCLuaviina)	Dynamic Model	NEMO3.6 (ocean) UM3 (sea-ice) JRA-55 (atmospheric forcing) Initialized from 1958 01 01 - 2019 12 31 forced simulation	4.23	4.23 ml sq km	0.67 ml sq km	2.73 ml sq km	20.77	0.47	5.39	The uncertainty is given as the range between minimum and maximum extents in the ensemble.	Our estimate is based on results from ensemble runs with the global ocean-sea ice coupled model NEMO3.6-LM3. The ensemble members are expected to sample the atmospheric variability that may prevail this summer. In practice, the model is forced with JRA-55 atmospheric reanalysis data from 1948 to Dec 31, 2019. No data are assimilated during this simulation. Ten ensemble members are then started from the obtained model state, each using atmospheric forcing from one year between 2009 and 2019 (forcing year 2015 was not used as it caused the model to crash). This choice of 10 members forced by 10 forcings from previous years is a compromise between a sufficiently large ensemble and the rapidly changing Arctic atmospheric conditions in recent decades. The estimate given above corresponds to the ensemble median monthly September extent. No bias-correction is applied.	See June report for details on submission	Initial sea ice concentrations come from a model free run on Jan 1, 2020	Initial sea ice thicknesses come from a model free run on Jan 1, 2020	None.
NCAR/CI-Boulder	Heuristic		4.3	4.37	4.89	3.14				An informal pool of 31 climate scientists in early June 2020 estimates that the September 2020 ice extent will be 4.30 million sq. km. (stdev. 0.34, min. 3.14, max. 4.89). Since its inception in 2008, the NCAR/CI sea ice pool has easily rivalled much more sophisticated efforts based on statistical methods and physical models to predict the September monthly mean Arctic sea ice extent (e.g. see appendix of Stroeve et al. 2014 in GRL doi:10.1002/2014GL059388; Witness the Arctic article by Hamilton et al. 2014 http://www.aros.org/witness-the-arctic/2014/2/arctic/212046). We think our informal pool provides a useful benchmark and reality check for sea ice Prediction efforts based on more sophisticated physical models and statistical techniques.	An informal pool of 31 climate scientists in early June 2020 estimates that the September 2020 ice extent will be 4.30 million sq. km. (stdev. 0.34, min. 3.14, max. 4.89). Guesses were collected by sending an e-mail out to the scientists and tempting them with local bragging rights and with local ice cream.				
CPOM UCL (Gregory et al.)	Statistical	Pan-Arctic: 0.27, Beaufort Sea: 0.09, Chukchi Sea: 0.08	4.3						0.407	Forecasts are Gaussian distributions. Forecast represents the mean, and uncertainties are given by the standard deviation	This statistical model computes a forecast of pan-Arctic and regional September sea ice extent. Monthly averaged June sea ice concentration fields between 1979 and 2020 were used to create a climate network (based on the approach of Gregory et al. 2020). This was then utilised in a Bayesian Linear Regression in order to forecast September extent. The model predicts a pan-Arctic extent of 4.3 million square kilometers. Sea ice concentration data were taken from NSIDC (Cavalieri et al., 1996; Maslanik and Stroeve, 1999).	Monthly averaged June sea ice concentration (SIC) data between 1979 and 2020 were used to create a June SIC climate network. Individual SIC grid cells were first clustered into regions of spatio-temporal homogeneity by using a community detection algorithm (see Gregory et al. 2020). Links between each of these network regions (connections) were then passed into a Bayesian Linear Regression to derive an estimate on the prior distribution of the regression parameters. Subsequently a posterior distribution of the regression parameters was then derived in order to generate the forecast of September sea ice extent.	NSIDC NASA Team Sea Ice Concentrations: 1979-1987: Nimbus-7 SSM/I (Version 3.0) product (NSIDC, 2007-2018: DMSP F-18 SSM/I 2018-2020: Near-real time SIC		
FIO-ESM (Shu et al.)	Dynamic Model	FIO-ESM1.0 Atmosphere CAM5 1992-2020 integration Ocean ROP2 DA_RI EASF DA-system Ice CICE4 1992-2020 integration Wave MASNUM-wave model 1992-2020 integration	4.3			4.02-4.58				Our prediction is based on FIO-ESM1.0 (The First Institute of Oceanography Earth System Model) with data assimilation. The prediction of September pan-Arctic extent in 2020 is 4.30 (±0.28) million square kilometers, 4.30 and 0.28 million square kilometers is the average and one standard deviation of 10 ensemble members, respectively.	This is a model contribution. The initialization is also from the same model (FIO-ESM1.0) but with ocean data assimilation. The data assimilation method is Ensemble Adjustment Kalman Filter (EAKF). The data of SST (sea surface temperature) and SLA (sea level anomaly) from 1 January 1992 to 1 July 2020 are assimilated into FIO-ESM1.0 model to get the initial condition for the prediction of the Arctic Sea Ice. There is no sea ice data assimilation.	None.	None.		
CPOM	Statistical		4.3		0.5	3.8-4.8				Mean forecast error based on forecasts for the years 1984 to 2019.	http://www.nature.com/nclimate/journal/v4/n5/full/nclimate2203.html for details. References: 1. Flocco, D., Schroeder, D., Feltham, D. L. & Hunke, E. C. 2012: Impact of melt ponds on Arctic sea ice simulations from 1990 to 2007. J. Geophys. Res. 117, C09022. 2. Schroeder, D., D. L. Feltham, D. Flocco, M. Tsamados, 2014: September Arctic sea-ice minimum predicted to spring melt-pond fraction. Nature Clim. Change 4, 363-367. DOI: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2203.				
Metservice (Yihe Zhan)	Statistical	The June TOA-RSR model is a statistical model based on the strong correlation between the June top-of-atmosphere (TOA) reflected solar radiation (RSR) and the September sea ice extent (SIE) (Zhan and Davies, 2017, ICR).	4.33			+/- 0.3 million km ²				The uncertainty range is estimated from the standard error of the correlation between June TOA-RSR and September SIE.	Our prediction is based on the strong correlation between detrended June top-of-atmosphere (TOA) reflected solar radiation (RSR) and September Sea Ice Extent (SIE) anomalies, as proposed by Zhan and Davies (2017). This method is telling because the main contributor of TOA RSR anomaly in June is from the change of underlying surfaces and the sea ice state in early summer (June) largely determines the total absorbed/thermally solar radiation during the whole melt season.	The detrended pan-Arctic June RSR anomaly (2020) is 1.24 W/m ² . The corresponding September SIE anomaly is 0.10 (±0.24 - 0.0765) million km ² . The trending anomaly of September SIE is -0.08 million km ² per year. The 2020 September SIE (from the trend) is 4.23 million km ² . The predicted September SIE of 2020 is 4.33 (±0.24 + 0.1) million km ² .	We do not use SIC dataset. Instead, we use sea ice index (Version 3.0) product (NSIDC, NASA Team, https://nsidc.org/data/G02135 , doi: https://doi.org/10.7926/N6G02F60).	Not used.	
ARCUS Team (Wiggins et al.)	Heuristic		4.34	4.34		Range: 3.79 - 4.86				The ARCUS team submission is the median of the September monthly average mean sea ice extent values contributed by 10 ARCUS team members.	ARCUS staff and board members were invited to provide an informal guess of the 2020 September minimum sea ice extent, defined as the September monthly average. Ten individuals participated.				
NSIDC Hivemind	Heuristic		4.36			0.26 million sq km				Uncertainty is based on the standard deviation of the 18 guesses.	The approach is heuristic expert elicitation method based on entries from an informal NSIDC sea ice contest. Interested employees submitted their guesses and the ensemble average of all guesses. There were 18 total entries, with an average guess of 26 million sq km for the September average.	The approach is heuristic expert elicitation method based on entries to an informal NSIDC sea ice contest.	Guesses were based on the NASA Team algorithm extents from the NSIDC Sea Ice Index, Version 3 (http://nsidc.org/data/seaice_index/).		

<p>CanSP5v2 (https://doi.org/10.1175/WAF-D-19-0259.1)</p> <p>Component Name/Description Ocean Sea Ice CanM4M CanM4M CCMIP GIGPS CCMIP GIGPS SIC, SMI3 SIT</p> <p>Component Name/Description Atmosphere Ocean Sea Ice GEM NEMO GEM v4.8r1.15 NEMO-v3.11 OCCL4 Initialization CCMIP GIGPS CCMIP GIGPS SIC/SIT</p>	<p>Dynamic Model</p>	<p>4.36</p> <p>4.39</p> <p>0.28</p> <p>min=-3.78, max=4.99</p>	<p>The uncertainty values were calculated from the bias-corrected SIC across the 20 ensemble members (see section 6).</p>			<p>Our Outlook for bias-corrected Arctic sea ice extent (SIE), bias-corrected sea ice concentration (SIC), calibrated sea ice probability (SIP), and bias-corrected ice fluxes (IHF) was prepared by the Canadian Seasonal to Interannual Prediction System version 2 (CanSP5v2). CanSP5v2 is now the operational seasonal forecasting system for Environment and Climate Change Canada.</p>	<p>CanM4M combines ensemble forecasts from two models, CanM4M and GEM NEMO, with a total of 20 ensemble members (10 from each model). Our pan-Arctic SIE estimate was formulated by calculating (for each ensemble member) the SIE anomaly relative to a piecewise linear trend fitted to the respective model's ensemble-mean SIE time series over 1980-2019. These anomalies were then added to the fitted piecewise linear trend for the NSIDC sea ice index SIE time series, and then averaged over all 20 ensemble members to yield a total SIE of 4.36 million square kilometers. The piecewise linear fit, including the breakpoint year, was found using linear least squares. Sea ice probability maps were produced by first calibrating the ensemble SIC forecasts for each respective model using need-adjusted quantile mapping (TAQM), computing the probability for SIC<15%, and then averaging those probabilities across both models. Our outlook for the 80% SIC contour was prepared by first bias-correcting the full ensemble SIC fields for each model separately using a 2011-2019 baseline, and then averaging the ensemble mean SIC across both models. The resultant SIC field was then converted to 0.25m and 0.25m corresponding to which grid cells have SIC<80% and which have SIC>=80%, respectively. Similarly, our IFD forecasts have been bias corrected based on the 2011-2019 mean IFD, where we have defined the IFD as the first date that SIC falls below 50% and remains below that value for 10 consecutive days.</p>	<p>CanM4M: CCMIP GIGPS analysis (assimilates SSM/I and SSMIS satellite & OS ice charts) (https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-14-00264.1) GEM-NEMO: CCMIP GIGPS analysis (assimilates SSM/I and SSMIS satellite & OS ice charts) (https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.2555)</p> <p>CanM4M: SMI3 statistical model (SIT trends from PDMAS + anomalies proportional to observed SIC anomalies; https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0427.1) GEM-NEMO: CCMIP GIGPS analysis ("constrained by SIC projection onto each thickness category; https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.2555)</p> <p>This is described in section 6.</p>
<p>Goulet-Coulombe and Gvabell</p>	<p>Statistical</p>	<p>4.37</p> <p>4.37</p>	<p>percentile 5: 3.76, percentile 95: 5.00</p>	<p>Done via the posterior distribution obtained by standard Bayesian Methods for linear Vector Autoregressions.</p>	<p>When it comes to forecasting sea ice, there is tension between opting for statistical methods vs forecasts based on climate models. While the former are explicitly designed for the prediction task, they usually lack interpretative potential. That is, we may get a good forecast, but it is hard to know why. Institutions in charge of macroeconomic policy have been facing such dilemmas for years. One model, Vector Autoregressions, have been an increasingly popular tool to forecast economic aggregates as they are a compromise between theory-based methods and statistical ones. As a result, it is possible to obtain an explainable forecast which are the results of dynamic interactions between key active variables. Hence, our forecast implicitly uses physical transmission mechanisms in the data, without specifying them explicitly.</p>	<p>The VARCTIC, which is a Vector Autoregression (VAR) designed to capture and extrapolate Arctic feedback loops. VARs are dynamic simultaneous systems of equations, routinely estimated to predict and understand the interactions of multiple macroeconomic time series. Hence, the VARCTIC is a parsimonious compromise between full-blown climate models and purely statistical approaches that usually offer little explanation of the underlying mechanism.</p> <p>Precisely, we use an 8-variable Bayesian Vector Autoregression (VAR) with 12 lags and a constant which we refer to as the VARCTIC. We estimate the model over the period from January 1980 until December 2019. The variables and their data-source can be found in our original paper. Due to the observable time-series data for thickness ending in December 2019, we could not feed our model with any further observations from 2020, which would have allowed us to further enhance our forecast. That is, we forecast September 2020 starting from December 2019 using a 9-months ahead recursive forecast.</p>		
<p>Sawwa School (Jihoshi et al.)</p>	<p>Heuristic</p>	<p>4.4</p>	<p>A dynamic model is not used.</p>		<p>Monthly mean ice extent in September will be about 4.40 million square kilometers. We estimated the minimum ice area through discussion among 20 students based on the ice map from 2024 to 2019.</p>	<p>We first estimated total ice area for September of 2024, 2008, 2008, 2010, 2012, 2014, 2016, 2018 and 2019 from the ice concentration map. By concentration map, by area with triangle or trapezoid and so on.</p> <p>Based on this rough estimation, we discussed a yearly change of the ice area and calculated the ice area of this September.</p>	<p>SIC is not used. SIT is not used.</p>	
<p>Sun, Nico</p>	<p>Statistical</p>	<p>4.41</p> <p>4.41</p>	<p>3.96-4.74</p>	<p>0.561</p> <p>4</p>	<p>The forecast model is based on ice persistence. It uses incoming solar radiation and sea ice albedo derived from a predicted Sea Ice Concentration (SIC) value to calculate daily thickness losses for every NSIDC 25km grid cell. The initial thickness is calculated from AMSR2 sea ice volume and NSIDC SIC data. The mean forecast uses the 2007-2019 mean SIC (1/4 weight) and mean SIC change per day (3/4 weight) to predict future SIC. The low forecast reduces the predicted SIC by 0.133x for previously observed SIC for this day and a 10% increased bottom melt. The high forecast increases the predicted SIC by 0.105x and a 10% decreased bottom melt. The 2020 model includes an extra cooling/heating layer to simulate sea ice drift. In re-forecasts, it eliminated the persistent underestimation of sea ice in the Eastern Beaufort sea, the Canadian Archipelago and Eastern Greenland Sea during the late melt season.</p>	<p>East grid cells initialized with a thickness derived from the AMSR2 Sea Ice Volume model (https://cryospherecomping.hq/sit/). For each day, the model calculates average thickness loss per grid cell using the exact solar radiation energy and the predicted sea ice concentration as an albedo value. $Ice-loss(m) = Energy(solar \text{ in } MJ) * (1-SIC) / icealbedo$</p> <p>SIC = sea ice concentration icealbedo = 0.5875 per km², (333.55 KJ/kg/1000(m³/m³)*0.92/density/1000(MJ/K))</p> <p>In 2019 the model was upgraded with a bottom-melt model and a radiation of thermal energy back to space. This allowed the model to forecast the initial refreezing period during late September.</p>	<p>NSIDC NASA Team, https://nsidc.org/data/nsid0-008/ https://doi.org/10.5067/URC090W09MAM, Initial SIC 1st June 2020. The model used observed SIC until 1st July 2020 to calculate melt.</p> <p>AMSR2 Sea Ice Volume model (v4.5), 31st May 2020, developed by Nico Sun The average thickness of this model was used to initialise thickness on the NSIDC SIC field on the 1st June.</p>	
<p>Kondrashov, Dmitri (UCLA)</p>	<p>Statistical</p>	<p>4.43</p>	<p>0.18 million Km2</p>	<p>0.45</p>	<p>This uncertainty corresponds to standard deviation of stochastic ensemble spread.</p>	<p>This statistical model forecast is based on nonlinear stochastic modeling techniques applied to the regional Arctic Sea Ice Extent (SIE) dataset.</p>	<p>1. Kondrashov, D., M. D. Chekunov, and M. GHI, 2018: Data-adaptive harmonic decomposition and prediction of Arctic sea ice extent. Dynamics and Statistics of the Climate System, 3(1), doi:10.1093/dsc/lyy001. 2. Kondrashov, D., M. D. Chekunov, and M. GHI, 2015: Data-driven non-Markovian closure models. Physica D, 297, 33-55, doi:10.1016/j.physd.2014.12.009.</p>	
<p>NSIDC (Meier)</p>	<p>Statistical</p>	<p>4.44</p>	<p>0.53</p>	<p>18.27</p>	<p>Standard deviation of the extrapolated extents using rates from 2007 to 2019.</p>	<p>This method applies daily ice loss rates to extrapolate from the start date (July 1) through the end of September. Projected September daily extents are averaged to calculate the projected September average extent. Individual years from 2005 to 2017 are used, as well as averages over 1981-2010 and 2007-2019. The 2007-2019 average daily rates are used to estimate the official submitted estimate. The predicted September average extent for 2020 is 4.44 (-0.53) million square kilometers, which increase from the June estimate of 4.13 million square kilometers. The minimum daily extent is predicted to be 4.32 (-0.53) million square kilometers, and increase from June's 4.01 million square kilometers and occurs on 14 September. The range of estimates has decreased (-0.66) due to the shorter remainder of the melt season. Based on the last 15 years, none of the projections are lower than the current record low September extent of 3.57 million sq km in 2012. Using the same method, the predicted Antarctic average extent for September 2020 is 18.16 (-0.57) million square kilometers. The maximum daily extent is predicted to be 18.17 (-0.58) million square kilometers and occurs on 30 September.</p>	<p>This method applies daily ice loss rates to extrapolate from the start date (June 1) through the end of September. Projected September daily extents are averaged to calculate the projected September average extent. Individual years from 2007 to 2019 are used, as well as averages over 1981-2010 and 2007-2019. The 2007-2019 average daily rates are used to estimate the official submitted estimate. The method essentially provides the range of September extents that can be expected based on how the ice has declined in past years, though it is possible that recent fast or slow daily loss rates may result in a value outside the projected range. It also can provide a probability of a new record by comparing how many years of loss rates yield a record relative to all years. It has the benefit that it can easily and frequently (daily if desired) be updated to provide updated estimates and probabilities and as the minimum approaches the Ajuwindov Ju of possible outcomes remains.</p> <p>NSIDC Sea Ice Index Fetterer, F., K. Knowles, W. Meier, M. Savoie, and A. K. Windgang, 2017, updated daily Sea Ice Index, Version 1, Boulder, Colorado USA. NSIDC: National Snow and Ice Data Center, doi: https://doi.org/10.7926/N5K072F8.</p>	
<p>UPern Group (Diebold et al.)</p>	<p>Statistical</p>	<p>4.447</p> <p>4.447</p>	<p>0.399</p> <p>[3.648, 5.245] (approximate 95% confidence interval)</p>	<p>estimated stochastic model</p>	<p>The UPern group is composed of economists and statisticians interested in predictive modeling of many aspects of climate in its relation to economic activity. The Arctic - and Arctic sea ice in particular - is of particular interest to us. As is well known, the Arctic is warming about twice as fast as the global average, and the Arctic amplification in surface air temperature is of course closely connected to the dramatic multi-decade reduction in Northern sea ice. This loss of sea ice is one of the most conspicuous warning signs of (near/future) climate change, and also plays a key role in the timing and intensity of (near/future) global climate change. Not surprisingly, then, we are keenly interested in predictive modeling of Arctic sea ice, particularly summer ice.</p>	<p>We have supplied a forecast based on a statistical model with trend, a feed-forward loop, and stochastic shocks, estimated by direct projection. In the modeling process we explore different levels of aggregation of the underlying high-frequency (daily) concentration data and associated sea ice extent, and we tune the aggregation to optimize the predictive bias/variance tradeoff for forecasting September extent. It turns out that our in-sample forecast errors (residuals) are approximately Gaussian, which we exploit in making our out-of-sample forecast for September. The predictive density is Gaussian, with the mean 4.447 million square kilometers and standard deviation 0.399 million square kilometers. By symmetry, the mean and median coincide. The approximate 95% interval that we report is the mean plus or minus 2 standard deviations.</p>	<p>underlying daily concentration data are based on the NASA Team algorithm January 1978 - December 2018 (http://nsidc.org/data/nsid0-005/versions/1/) and the NSIDC near-real-time product (http://nsidc.org/data/nsid0-005/versions/1/), January 2019 - July 12, 2020</p>	
<p>NSIDC (Vorhath et al.)</p>	<p>Statistical</p>	<p>4.49</p>			<p>This statistical model computes the probability that sea ice will be present (concentration above 15%) for each grid cell in NSIDC's polar stereographic projection. Yearly data from 1980 through the present are used in a Bayesian logistic regression. Predictors include local surface air temperature, downwelling longwave radiation, and sea ice concentration, as well as the first principal component of geopotential height at 500mb, and Pacific and Atlantic sea surface temperatures. Sea ice concentration data was obtained from NSIDC's Sea Ice Index V3 (Data Set ID:G2135), all other variables are from NASA's MERRA2 dataset</p>	<p>Yearly data from 1980 through the present are used in a Bayesian logistic regression to predict the probability that sea ice concentration will be above 15%. To estimate total sea ice extent, grid cells with a percentage above a certain threshold (chosen from a drop-out cross-validation test) are multiplied by the pixel area grid dataset provided by NSIDC's polar stereographic today and then summed. Sea ice concentration data was obtained from NSIDC's Sea Ice Index V3 (Data Set ID:G2135), all other variables are from NASA's MERRA2 dataset.</p>	<p>NSIDC's Sea Ice Index V3 (Data Set ID:G2135)</p>	
<p>Wu, Tallapragada, and Grunbire</p>	<p>Dynamic Model</p>	<p>4.56</p>		<p>20.02</p>	<p>The projected Arctic minimum sea ice extent from the NCEP CF5v2 model June initial conditions (IIC) using 120-member ensemble forecast (4 cycles each day June 1-30) is 4.56 million square kilometers with a standard deviation of 0.15 million square kilometers. The corresponding sea ice extent for the Antarctic (maximum) is 20.02 million square kilometers with a standard deviation of 0.46 million square kilometers.</p>	<p>We used the NCEP CF5v2 model with 120-case of June 2020 initial conditions (4 cycles each day June 1-30) and model forecast.</p>	<p>NCEP Sea Ice Concentration Analysis for the CF5v2 (June 1-30, 2020)</p> <p>NCEP CF5v2 model guess (June 1-30, 2020)</p>	
<p>NMERC of China (Li and Lu)</p>	<p>Statistical</p>	<p>4.59</p>			<p>We predict the September monthly average sea ice extent of Arctic by statistical method and based on monthly sea ice concentration and extent from National Snow and Ice Data Center. The predicted monthly average ice extent of September 2020 is 4.59 million square kilometers.</p>	<p>A simple statistical model is used to predict September average Arctic sea ice extent. The sea ice extent of September is well related with the sea ice extent of June in the same year. Combined the regression method and optimal climate normal method, the predicted September sea ice extent in 2020 is 4.59 million square kilometers.</p>	<p>Sea Ice Index - Daily Sea Ice Concentration (NASA Team) and monthly sea ice extent from National Snow and Ice Data Center.</p>	
<p>Lamont (Yuan and Li)</p>	<p>Statistical</p>	<p>4.59</p>		<p>18.24</p> <p>0.6</p>	<p>The uncertainty of SIC prediction was measured by RMSE. They were estimated based on 36 years cross-validated model experiments.</p>	<p>A linear Markov model is used to predict monthly Arctic sea ice concentration (SIC) at all grid.</p>	<p>The linear Markov model has been developed to predict sea ice concentrations in the pan</p> <p>June monthly mean SIC from NSIDC NASA Team</p> <p>A constant bias correction was applied to Arctic SIC prediction at each grid point. The biases were estimated based on the cross-validated predictions for 1998-2012. The constant SIE bias was corrected from the September SIE prediction</p>	

NMFC (Jiechen Zhao)	Dynamic Model	MITgcm	4.6							This Sea Ice Outlook is a part of the official sea ice service for Chinese Arctic activities, targeting for icebreakers and commercial ships. This prediction was carried out by National Marine Environmental Forecasting Center (China), using a ocean-sea ice coupled model, MITgcm.	The sea ice prediction was carried out by National Marine Environmental Forecasting Center (China), using a ocean-sea ice coupled model, MITgcm. The prediction was initialized on 20 May 2020 and run for 6 months forward by CFS 9-month operational forecast. The initial condition came from an operational assimilation system by assimilating sea ice concentration and thickness. The sea ice outlook was a mean value from 10 ensemble runs.	AMSR2	SMOS, CryoSat-2
UColorado/NSIDC (Slater-Barrett)	Statistical	Slater Probabilistic Ice Extent Model	4.64							This projection was made using the Slater Probabilistic Ice Extent model developed by Drew Slater (http://cires1.colorado.edu/~slater/SEAICE/). The model computes the probability of sea ice concentration greater than 15% for Arctic Ocean grid cells in the EASE 25 km grid. These probabilities are aggregated over the model domain to arrive at daily ice extents. A September mean ice extent is calculated from daily forecasts issued on July 1. While the model has predictive skill at lead times up to 90 days, NSIDC runs the forecast model with a 50 day lead time. Forecasts issued on July 1 for September have lead times spanning 62 to 95 days. Therefore we consider the mean September ice extent forecast for the July sea ice outlook to have some skill.	This is a non-parametric statistical model of Arctic sea ice extent. The model computes the probability of whether ice concentration greater than 15% will exist at a particular location for a particular lead time into the future, given current ice concentration. The only input is sea ice concentration. Probabilities are computed using data from the past 30 years. These probabilities are adjusted using daily near-real-time concentrations to make a forecast. Pan-Arctic ice extent is the sum of the product of grid-box area the probability of a grid-box containing ice on the forecast date. While not as sophisticated as a coupled ocean-ice-atmosphere model, this statistical method has the advantage that the forecasts for all points are completely independent in both space and time; that is, the forecast at any given point is not affected by its neighbors, nor is it result from the prior day. Therefore, the model can adapt to changing conditions and is not inherently subject to drift. The model has performed well in comparison to others in the 2013/2014 SIPM Outlook, in both extent value and spatial distribution. For 2012, a September mean forecast of below 4 million square kilometers was given. However, the model has also missed by as much as 0.4 million square kilometers in some years. Forecasting is difficult, but the model does have genuine skill at lead times as long as 90 days. Skill improves as lead time decreases, and September is the month with highest skill.	NSIDC daily sea ice concentrations NSIDC-0051	None
Met Office (Biodley et al.)	Dynamic Model	Model: HadGEM3 (Hewitt et al., 2013), Global Coupled Model 2.0 (Williams et al., 2015) in use within the GloSea5 seasonal prediction system (MacLachlan et al., 2015). Sea ice component: CICE4.1 (Häkkinen and Lipscomb, 2010) model using Global Sea Ice 6.0 configuration (Ree et al., 2015). Initialized using the Met Office FOAM ocean and sea ice analysis (Blockley et al., 2014), which assimilates the 55SMS sea ice concentration observation product from EUMETSAT OSI-SAC. Ocean component: NEMO (Madec, 2008) ocean model using Global Ocean 5.0 configuration (Megan et al., 2014). Initialized using Met Office FOAM ocean and sea ice analysis (Blockley et al., 2014) assimilating in situ and satellite observations of SST [CHRST], satellite observations of sea level anomaly (AVISO/CLS) and temperature and salinity sub-surface profiles (PROF). Atmospheric Component: Met Office Unified Model (MetUM) (Brown et al., 2012) using Global Atmosphere 6.0 configuration (Walters et al., 2017). Initialized using Met Office operational numerical weather prediction (NWP) 4D-Var data assimilation system (Rawlin et al., 2007). Land Component: Joint UK Land Environment Simulator (JULES) (Best et al., 2011) using Global Land 6.0 configuration (Walters et al., 2017). Initialized using soil temperature and snow over land from atmospheric 4D-Var analysis (Rawlin et al., 2007). Soil moisture is model climatology. Coupling: Ocean and sea ice are hard coupled. Atmosphere and land are hard coupled. Ocean/ice and atmosphere/land are coupled using the OASIS coupled (Valcke, 2006).	4.7	Arctic: +/- 0.35 million sq km; Antarctic: +/- 0.15 million sq km	Arctic: +/- 0.7 million sq km; Antarctic: +/- 0.7 million sq km	10.3	Uncertainty range is provided as +/- 2 two standard deviations of the (42 member) ensemble spread around the ensemble mean.	A dynamic model forecast made using the Met Office 6.0 seasonal forecasting system (GloSea). GloSea is a fully coupled Atmosphere-Ocean-Ice-Land (AOIL) model that produces a small 2-member ensemble of 230-day forecasts each day. Forecasts initialized over a 21-day period, centered on the 1st of each month, are used together to create a 42-member lagged ensemble or forecasts of September sea ice cover.	Ensemble coupled model seasonal forecast from the GloSea5 seasonal prediction system (MacLachlan et al., 2015), using the Global Coupled 2 (GC2) version (Williams et al., 2015) of the HadGEM3 coupled model (Hewitt et al., 2013). Forecast compiled together from forecasts initialized between 21st June and 11th July (2 per day) from an ocean and sea ice analysis (FOAM/NEOVAR) (Blockley et al., 2014; Petersen et al., 2014) and an atmospheric analysis (MO-NW/4DVar) (Rawlin et al., 2007) using observations from the previous day. Special Sensor Microwave Imager Sensor (SSM/I) ice concentration observations from EUMETSAT OSI-SAC (OSI-SAC) were assimilated in the ocean and sea ice analysis, along with satellite and in situ SST, sub surface temperature and salinity profiles, and sea level anomalies from altimeter data. No assimilation of ice thickness was performed.	Sea ice concentration (as all variables) is initialized using the operational FOAM ocean-sea ice analysis. 55MS Sea ice concentration is assimilated using the EUMETSAT OSI-SAC (OSI-SAC). See http://osiaf.met.no/mo/ocic/osiaf_cool_112_sum_ice_conc_v1p6.pdf	Sea ice thickness (as all variables) is initialized using the operational FOAM ocean-sea ice analysis. Sea ice thickness is not assimilated in FOAM.	Bias correction calculated from hindcast evaluation over 1993-2016. Arctic: +0.9 million sq km; Antarctic: -0.4 million sq km	
NASA GMAO	Dynamic Model	Atmosphere: Goddard Earth Observing System model (GEOS), version 1.6.0.3a2 (modified for coupled model), GMAO Forward Processing for Instrument Teams (FRT). Ocean: GFDL Modular Ocean Model version 5 (MOM5); Modified version of GMAO GEOS, S2S, 3 OASIS. Sea ice: modified version of the Los Alamos Community Ice Code version 4.1 (CICE4.1); MERRA-2/OSTIA.	4.87	Pan-Arctic, 4.81 Alaskan region, 1.02	Pan-Arctic, 0.28; Alaskan region, 0.20	Pan-Arctic, 4.45 to 5.31; Alaskan region, 0.68 to 1.28	0.98 4.37	The given uncertainty is the standard deviation of the 7 member ensemble.	An experiment of the GMAO seasonal forecasting system using CryoSat-2 derived ice thickness predicts a September average Arctic ice extent of 4.87 +/- 0.28 million km ² . The experiment tests the application of ice thickness data in a near-real time setting for the seasonal forecast system. The forecast suggests an enhanced ice cover for 2020 as compared to the previous year.	The forecast uses a prototype of the GEOS_S2S version 3 coupled system that was modified for this forecast. The model has an approximate grid spacing of ~40m in the atmosphere and ~10m in the ocean. The ocean data assimilation system is driven by a near real-time atmospheric analysis that is similar to MERRA-2, and uses the Local Ensemble Transform Kalman Filter (LETKF) for assimilation of available observations and along-track ocean altimetry.	The concentration was initialized with the MERRA-2 sea ice field, which is taken from the OSI-SAC product OSI-4010-3 that is paired with the OSTIA real time SST analysis.	From 1 December 2019 until 2 April 2020, the GMAO Ocean Data Assimilation System (ODAS) had ingested sea ice thickness fields from the CryoSat-2 Level 4 Sea Ice Elevation, Freeboard, and Thickness, Version 1 (ID: 10.5067/76UJ000F/DASB). After that time, the ODAS continued to integrate up to the start point of the forecasts.	The model output was re-gridded to the standard Northern Hemisphere passive microwave grid.
APPLICATE CNRM (BattV, et al.)	Dynamic Model	CNRM-CM6-1 HR (Meteo-France system 7) Ocean: NEMO 3.6.0.25- initialized from NEMO-GELATO run constrained to GLOIR512V1 Sea ice: GELATO v6.0.25- initialized from NEMO-GELATO run constrained to GLOIR512V1 Atmosphere: ARPEGE-Climate v6.4.0- reduced Gaussian grid initialized from IFS analysis Land surface: SURFEX v8.1.0.5- reduced Gaussian grid initialized from IFS analysis	4.95	4.98 million km ²	0.22 million km ²	4.38 to 5.43 million km ²		These estimates are based on a 51 member ensemble	This contribution is part of the H2020-APPLICATE project and based on Meteo France System 7 June initialization forecast. It is a 51-member ensemble forecast initialized from three sets of ocean/ice and atmosphere/land initial conditions from May 21 (25 members), May 28 (25 members), and June 1st (1 member).	0.46 million square kilometers. The Alaskan region SIC prediction is produced by a regional	Initial conditions for the ocean and sea ice (both concentration and thickness) are provided by Mercator Ocean International. These are based on the Mercator Ocean International operational analysis, run at 1/12 horizontal resolution with NEMO UM. This analysis is up-scaled to the 1/4 horizontal resolution of CNRM-CM6 HR used for Meteo-France system 7, and fields are nudged to a NEMO-GELATO run (Meteo-France configuration) forced by IFS operational analysis and restoring SST towards Mercator. Sea ice concentration and thickness (and ocean fields) are used to initialize forecasts.	See above.	Data was corrected for systematic error in SIC, as well as trend in SIE, based on hindcast data for the corresponding starts.
METNO SPARSE (Wang et al.)	Dynamic Model	model name: metrons, which is a coupled ocean model ROMS3.7 and sea ice model CICE3.1.2. The model is initialized with metron ocean and ice on 5 July assimilated with AMSR2 ice concentration.	5						With the initial field from EU CMEWS metron ocean daily analysis on 5 July, we made assimilation with AMSR2 sea ice concentration and OSTIA SST. The metrons model is then used to make the seasonal forecast, with atmospheric forcing data from ECMWF SEAS5 June product. Then the September sea ice concentration is averaged over the all month, and the September ice extent is determined as the monthly mean over 15%.	With the initial field from EU CMEWS metron ocean daily analysis on 5 July, we made assimilation with AMSR2 sea ice concentration and OSTIA SST. The metrons model is then used to make the seasonal forecast, with atmospheric forcing data from ECMWF SEAS5 June product. Then the September sea ice concentration is averaged over the all month, and the September ice extent is determined as the monthly mean over 15%.	AMSR2 sea ice concentration from University of Bremen.	From metron metron analysis: ftp://nt.cmews.dtu.eu/Centre/GLOBAL_ANALYSIS_FORECAST_PWF_ODI_ODA/global-analysis-forecast-phy001-004/2020/07/metronatpwy6hr1_g12_mean_20200705_8_30000708.nc	
Navy ESPC (Metzger and Barton)	Dynamic Model	Navy Earth System Prediction Capability (ESPC) Navy Global Environmental Model (NAVGEM) CV2.0 Wpnsid Coordinate Ocean Model (HYCOM) V2.3.9908 Community Ice Code (CICE) V4.0	5.2	5.2 Mkm ²		4.9 to 5.8 Mkm ²	21.8 0.89 0.89 3.97	The uncertainty estimate is the range of the 16 member ensemble.	We performed a 16 member ensemble forecast with Navy ESPC using initial conditions on 1 June 2020 from the pre-operational system using perturbed observations and run by FNMOC. The pre-operational cycling system assimilates atmospheric observations using the Naval Research Laboratory Atmospheric Variational Data Assimilation System (NAVDAS-AR) (Xu et al., 2005) and the ocean/sea ice assimilate observations using Navy Coupled Ocean Data Assimilation (NCODA) (Cummings, 2006). CICE assimilates passive microwave satellite sea ice concentration observations such as SSM/I's and AMSR2, but does not assimilate sea ice thickness. There was no bias correction performed on the results.	We performed a 16 member ensemble forecast with Navy ESPC using initial conditions on 1 June 2020 from the pre-operational system using perturbed observations and run by FNMOC. SIC initial conditions came from CICE.		We performed a 16 member ensemble forecast with Navy ESPC using initial conditions on 1 June 2020 from the pre-operational system using perturbed observations and run by FNMOC. SIC initial conditions came from CICE.	The Sea Ice Probability (SIP) and Ice-Free Day (IFD) were computed from the Navy ESPC sea ice output forwarded to the SIPN Data